1. INTRODUCTION

Knowledge of local site response is a necessary part of earthquake hazard classification. Single-station measurements of particle motion horizontal/vertical spectral ratios (HVSR) of background seismic noise (microtremor noise), acquired over a grid (regular or otherwise) are a popular method for determining shear-wave resonances relevant to the modelling of site response. SESAME (2004) and references therein contain a major review and results of current studies with the method. However the HVSR spectra and resonances alone are insufficient to determine quantitative site response.

2. PREVIOUS STUDIES OF RESONANCE-EQUIVALENT MODELS

Asten et al (2002) who considered three resonance-equivalent models for a site at Blacksmith, near Newcastle. The site (discussed in greater detail by Sorensen and Asten, 2005, this volume) has a thickness of 44-50 m of dense sands and clays with shear-velocities in the range 140-250 m/sec, overlying sandstone bedrock. The three resonance-equivalent models considered the preferred model, a “thick” model with twice the shear velocities (velocities which would be typical of thick gravels), and a “thin” model with shear velocities halved (velocities which would be typical of soft muds and silt). Non-linear shear-wave modelling showed that the displacement demand of the “thin” silt model exceeded the “preferred” model by 19% while the “thick” gravel model showed demand reduced by 25%.

Asten and Dhu (2004) considered three sites in the Botany Bay area, Sydney where the velocity profiles were established through microtremor array studies. The geology is similar to the Blacksmith area discussed above. One site on the edge of Botany Bay atypically showed a shear-velocity profile in the top 5 m of low values in the range 98-125 m/sec (typical of silts). Computation of amplification of acceleration response for the three sites showed that the last of these sites had a shear-wave resonance at 6 Hz associated with the previously-unknown soft top layer, not obvious in HVSR. Equivalent linear site response modelling predicted that this site will have acceleration amplification 70-100% above that for the other two “comparable” sites.

The difficulty of resolving shear-wave velocity profiles from single-site microtremor data is also evident in quantitative modelling studies by Scherbaum et al (2003) who found that inversion of H/V spectral ratios for layered-earth models suffers from velocity-thickness trade-offs, i.e. the phenomenon of resonance-equivalence as discussed above. This may not always prevent extraction of SWV profiles from H/V data; Lang and Schwartz (2005) present one such example.

3. CURRENT SITE – HVSR

The site surveyed is located north-west of the Melbourne CBD. A series of single-station microtremor measurements (Figure 1) showed a dominant natural period of order 0.3 sec, with some subsidiary peaks of order 0.9 sec. A geotechnical drill-hole in the locality showed 5 m of fill over 6.5 m of Coode Is silt, with a siltstone basement (the expected bedrock in this locality is Melbourne Mudstone). This relatively thin cover, coupled with the 0.3 sec HVSR peak, would normally result in a site classification “C” according to the new Australian Standard for earthquake actions (AS/NZS 1170.4 Draft no.D5212-5.1, 2005), but the weak 0.9 sec peaks in the HVSR raise the question.
whether alternative classification is warranted. Uniform silts would need to have a hypothetical thickness of 21.5 m to result in a HVSR peak at a period of order 1 sec. Recognising the importance of the soil shear-velocity, not just resonance frequency (period), as demonstrated by Lam and Wilson (2004), Tsang et al (2005) and by examples in the previous section, an array microtremor survey was performed to determine the soil shear-wave velocity profile.

4. CURRENT SITE – VELOCITY MODEL FROM ARRAY MEASUREMENT

The microtremor array survey closely followed methods described by Asten and Dhu (2004), Roberts et al (2004), Roberts and Asten (2005) and Asten et al (2005). Two hexagonal arrays of radius 20 m and 48 m were used. As shown in Figure 2 the SPAC spectra acquired with the smaller array resolve the shear velocities of the upper 5 m of sand/silt, and the underlying 6.5 m of Coode Is silt. These velocities are resolved with an accuracy of order 5% and the fact that there is a velocity inversion at this site (higher shear-velocity overlying a layer of lower shear velocity) is explicitly resolved.

Fig. 1. (a) and (b): HVSR plots for two sites in the study area. Peaks in the range 0.25-0.4 sec are associated with a known thickness of 11.5 m of Quaternary sands and silts. Lesser peaks at periods of order 0.9 sec indicate underlying unconsolidated sediments.

(c) Modelled ellipticity ratios for the fundamental (dashed line) and 1st higher (dotted line) Rayleigh modes, for the shear-wave velocity model velocity developed in Figs. 2 and 3, shown as inset in Fig. 4b.
Figure 3 shows observed and modelled SPAC spectra for the larger array. The shearwave velocity for Melbourne Mudstone has been measured in other surveys at three sites north-west of the Melbourne CBD, and found to be in the range 1800-2000 m/sec (Roberts et al, 2004; Lam et al, 2005). It is clear that rock beneath the Coode Is Silt at

\begin{table}
\centering
\caption{Velocity model for best-fit Figs 2 and 3.}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline
Layer & h (m) & Vp (m/sec) & Vs (m/sec) & RHO & GEOLOGY \\
\hline
1 & 2 & 800 & 190 & 1.8 & sand/silt \\
2 & 1600 & 190 & 2 & sand/silt \\
3 & 1600 & 140 & 2 & Coode Is silt \\
4 & 95 & 2100 & 600 & 2.4 & gravel \\
5 & 300 & 3100 & 1500 & 2.4 & bedrock \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\end{table}

Notes: Compressional velocities Vp and densities Rho are assumed values.
Layer 1 is a guess, representing position of a nominal water table.
Base of layers 2 & 3 is fixed, using drill-hole data.
Bedrock is assumed but not resolved.
the current site is very much softer than mudstone. Model fitting shown in Figure 3 gives a shear-velocity of 600 m/sec +10%, with a thickness of 95 m, overlying bedrock. This shear velocity is less than that expected for rock or weathered rock, and is similar to that for known gravels such as the Pleistocene-Holocene gravels of the Auckland (New Zealand) area reported in Asten et al (2005). The full set of parameters used in modelling is given in Table 1.

5. SITE-AMPLIFICATION MODELS

Figure 4 shows the displacement response spectra (RSD) computed for the velocity model developed in Figures 2 and 3, and for the resonance-equivalent model whereby a uniform thick silt layer is used to obtain a site natural period to match the secondary peak observed on the HVSR at 0.9 sec. The RSD spectra were computed by one dimensional non-linear shear wave analysis using program SHAKE based on the soil shear wave velocity profile inferred from the SPAC measurements (refer inset diagram of Figure 4a).
The excitations from the bedrock required for input into the analysis was obtained from stochastic simulations (Lam et al., 2000) based on a hypothetical magnitude 6.5 earthquake at a distance of 45 km. This hypothetical earthquake has a peak ground velocity of approximately 60 mm/sec on rock sites and hence corresponds to a 500 year return period earthquake risk for both Melbourne and Sydney (Lam and Wilson, 2005).

The amplitude demand for the shear-wave velocity (SWV) profile interpreted from microtremor array measurements is 30 mm, which lies well within the design bounds of an average building structure. However the amplitude demand for the resonance equivalent model, which assumes a hypothetical thick silt layer as the cause of the observed HVSR peak at 0.9 sec, is 43% greater at 43 mm (refer Figure 4b). The hypothetical case would indicate a Class D site which is associated with a stipulated seismic demand some 60% higher than that of a Class C site (as inferred from the SPAC survey). This demonstrates the advantage of obtaining microtremor array data and a quantitative SWVP rather than relying on single-station site resonance data alone.
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